

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/834,061	04/11/2001	William L. Post	10003807-1 5056		
7590 08/22/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES			AGGARWAL, YOGESH K		
Legal Department, 51U-PD Intellectual Property Administration			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 58043			2615		
Santa Clara, CA 95052-8043			DATE MAILED: 08/22/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/834,061	POST, WILLIAM L.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Yogesh K. Aggarwal	2615		

zororo uro r iling or all rippour ziror	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Yogesh K. Aggarwal	2615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		<u>*</u>					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		ING I REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered	because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or	corresponding number of finally re						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling							
the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. Solution for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of							
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 10 and 19.							
Claim(s) rejected to: <u>10 and 19.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,11-18 and 20.</u>							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	<i>f</i>),				
		Call					
		<u> </u>					

DAVID L. OMÉTZ SUPERVISORY PATENT

EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: In rolling up the limitations from claim 9 into claim 1 new claim combination is created that was not examined before. For example, claim 8 was never dependent upon claim 9 whereas with the proposed amendment claim 8 is effectively dependent upon claim 9. Similarly the combination of claim 20 and a part of claim 19 was never examined. Finally only a part of claim 19 is recited in claim 11. Claim 19 as a whole was objected to. Therefore the amended claims raise new issues that would require further search and/or consideration to indicate the allowability of amended claims.